Review Article



# Integrated nutrient management to maintain maize productivity while reducing environmental impacts

Muhammad Shahid, Esha Arshad<sup>\*</sup>, Tajamul Abbas Kamran, Iram Shehzadi, Jave a Zunaira Arif, Rameesha Ali, Javaria Mushtaq

Department of Botany, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

\*Correspondence Esha Arshad eshaarshad81@gmail.com

Volume: 2, Issue: 1, Pages: 29-35 DOI: https://doi.org/10.37446/corbio/ra/2.1.2024.29-35 Received: 08 November 2023 / Accepted: 29 January 2024 / Published: 31 March 2024

eds of a growing population requires innovative The global imperative of sustaining food production to meet t agricultural approaches that enhance crop productivity while environmental impacts. This summary delves gatin into the concept of integrated nutrient management (IN comprehensive strategy for maintaining maize as productivity while concurrently minimizing environme otprints. INM entails a careful blend of organic and al hniques to optimize nutrient availability and utilization in inorganic fertilizers, cover cropping, and other agropomic to maize cultivation. The review examines existing e an esearch outcomes on the effects of INM, with a specific eral ecrease nutrient runoff, and counteract soil degradation. focus on its capacity to improve nutrient use ike crop residues and green manure with precisely calibrated Through the incorporation of organic nutrient ource inorganic fertilizers, INM seeks to enha h, nutrient cycling, and overall agricultural sustainability. The abstract also explores cover cropping as compenentary INM strategy, contributing to soil conservation, weed control, and increased biodiversity. Addre ronmental concerns such as nutrient runoff and greenhouse gas emissions agriculture. This abstract underscores the potential of INM to tackle these from fertilizer use is crucial in co challenges by promoting a balar ient supply, reducing nutrient losses to water bodies, and mitigating the nu xcessive fertilizer application. Through a thorough examination of existing environmental impact ass literature, the abstrac rscore the necessity for further research and the adoption of INM practices to ensure und guarding the environment. The integration of nutrient management strategies not sustained maize proc only boosts crop with the goals of sustainable agriculture, emphasizing the importance of adopting so alio s but pnomic viability with environmental stewardship for global food security. practices that ba

Keywords cov. crowping, integrated nutrient management (INM), mitigating environmental

## introduct.

Injze belongs to the family Poaceae and genus Zea, recognized globally as a highly nutritious crop with substantial production potential, particularly in favorable growing conditions, setting it apart from other major grain crops (Nayak et al., 2012). Plant growth necessitates essential elements such as phosphorous, potassium, and NPK, which are traditionally supplied to the soil through chemical or organic fertilizers to meet crop nutritional needs (Abid et al., 2016; Güereña et al., 2016). Although genetic advancements and the widespread use of chemical fertilizers have led to enhanced crop yields, the excessive dependence on artificial fertilizers has given rise to notable environmental hazards and soil quality deterioration, ultimately causing a decline in corn production over the years (Hepperly et al., 2009). Extensive research indicates that maize-centric intensive agricultural systems are displaying signs of fatigue, evident in stagnant yields (Arif et al., 2016; Güereña et al., 2016). The incorporation of organic fertilizer (OM) in agricultural practices has demonstrated benefits by enhancing both the physical and chemical properties of the soil, thereby boosting

crop productivity. OM is considered environmentally friendly, cost-effective, readily available, and capable of providing essential nutrients (Gangwar et al., 2006). However, relying solely on OM may be insufficient to meet the nutritional needs of plants in large areas due to its limited and uneven nutrient content. Moreover, its application demands significant manual effort owing to its bulky nature (Jones & Healey, 2010). On the other hand, introducing metallic elements to the soil can be advantageous in mitigating the adverse effects of excessive metal concentrations and reducing their absorption by crops. The effectiveness of this approach depends on the quality and concentration of these elements in the soil-plant systems (Nayak et al., 2012).

The surging global population, coupled with diminishing available land and resources, poses unprecedented challenges to agriculture and natural ecosystems in meeting the escalating demand for food. Ensuring the availability of f d in an ecologically sustainable manner is a critical concern in developing nations, pivotal for poverty reduction. In rethis challenge, agricultural practitioners have increasingly turned to the excessive application of certain, ostance chemical fertilizers and pesticides, leading to ongoing environmental degradation. Achieving global su and food safety goals necessitates a substantial increase in food production while concurrently minin the ogical impact of farming practices (Foley et al., 2011). In maize agricultural systems, where optimal and crop yields are imperative, the application of an appropriate quantity of integrated fertilizer treatment be for ensuring tucia an adequate supply of minerals. Pakistan, a country that relies on imported chemical fertili ers, considers maize as its third most significant cereal crop, following rice and wheat. Maize contributes to ov of tl total agricultural production in Pakistan and engages 15% of the agricultural workforce, with small la farms contributing 50% thold to these statistics (Shiferaw et al., 2013). Maize cultivation spans 1.016 mill ectare resulting in an annual production of 3.037 million tons, with an average grain yield of 2864 kg b feraw et al.'s 2013 report. ding Small-scale maize farmers in Pakistan grapple with challenges such a lity, variable nutrient availability, ow s and disrupted soil characteristics, emerging as primary constraints output Arif et al., 2016). In contrast, cro Argentina, with its substantial livestock and poultry population, has easy ess to organic meat. This situation creates an opportunity to integrate fertilizer treatments that enhance crop growth in paize-based cropping systems. Therefore, evaluating the impact of integrated nutrient delivery on agricult ields in real-world conditions becomes essential, encompassing factors such as crop growth, crop and soil chara and specific locations (Abid et al., 2020). istic.

Achieving a reduction in chemical usage and greenhous missions can be accomplished through the adoption of ga f baunced fertilization, as suggested by Zhang et al. (2011, alternative nutrient management strategies and the practice 2012). Aligning with future needs, curtailing en. buse g (GHG) release through environmentally friendly and sustainable approaches is essential. Despite th advancements in crop genetics resulting from scientific side od pre farming research, which have boosted global f uction in terms of both quantity and quality (Wu et al., 2014a), imately one-third short of the predicted yields documented in the actual yields achieved by farmers off appro various field studies (Mueller et al., 20 2). I merous studies suggest that the enhancement in yields for major crop types either declined or remained steady the early 1990s (Brisson et al., 2010). Furthermore, the increased agricultural productivity in various global re een linked to significant depletions of natural resources, including soil has nutrient exhaustion and the loss d carbon. The negative impact of global change on agricultural regions is on 1 4 develop strategies that foster sustained and improved agricultural growth to the rise (Bruinsma, 2009). counter prevailing trends. he cur nt agricultural model, marked by extensive use of pesticides, fertilizers, fresh water, and land expansion, tainable due to the rapid depletion of natural resources (Haddad et al., 2010). ancing crop yield and output without compromising ecological sustainability is According to Chen 2010, en al. unattainable. Th pricitizing the pursuit of sustainable agricultural development becomes imperative. This involves integra cological methods to conserve resources, minimize ecological impact, and address global ng agi climate c ugh adaptation and mitigation strategies. These measures should be an integral part of any ag<u>ricultur</u> maining to boost production. prog

## The impact of NM on plant production maize-based cropping system

Storal dies have demonstrated that incorporating integrated nutrient management (INM) practices markedly improves multiple facets of maize productivity and related yield indicators. Vidyavathi et al. (2012) demonstrated that the use of a balanced NPK fertilizer treatment, in conjunction with farmyard manure (FYM) and lime, markedly improved the growth and production of maize crops. A decade-long experimental investigation in Kathalagere, India, indicated that applying 50% nitrogen (N) through farmyard manure (FYM) and 50% NPK through inorganic fertilizers resulted in higher maize yields (Sathish et al., 2011). Another study in Islamabad observed that substituting 25 or 50% of nitrogen (N) with farmyard manure (FYM) and supplementing with 4 kilograms of zinc per hectare (ha) led to increased grain and straw output compared to using 100% N (at a rate of 120 kg/ha) through chemical fertilizers alone. The most substantial maize yield of 5.18 tons per hectare was achieved through a combination of 75% chemical fertilizer (CF) and 25% farmyard manure (FYM), along with 4 kilograms of zinc per hectare. This yield was equivalent

to either using 50% CF and 50% FYM with 4 kg of zinc per hectare or using 75% CF and 25% FYM with 8 kg of zinc per hectare (Sarwar et al., 2012).

Upon further investigation, it was revealed that a combination of 50% organic waste, specifically poultry and farmyard manure, with 50% urea nitrogen resulted in increased crop yields and improved yield components compared to the use of either natural or synthetic nitrogen alone. The application of basic nitrogen or 50% poultry manure led to enhanced maize ear length, grains per ear, grain yield, and biological yield, as reported in Ali et al.'s 2012 study. In Ahmad et al.'s 2013 research, it was found that combining farmyard manure (FYM), constituting 50% of the recommended NPK fertilizers, resulted in the highest maize yields and microbiological activity, comparable to applying 100% NPK fertilizers. In terms of crop performance, the analysis indicated that this approach was comparable to applying 100% NPK fertilizers and utilizing natural resources alongside 50% of the necessary NPK fertilizers maximized net resources.

#### How INM affects the absorption of nutrients by crops in mechanisms derived from maize

ure (FYM), A study conducted in Islamabad revealed that substituting 25 or 50% of nitrogen with farm supplemented with 4 kg of zinc per hectare, led to higher nutrient absorption compared to ic fertilizers vnth/ alone at the full nitrogen application rate of 120 kg/ha. The maximum nitrogen absorption reaching 98.7 kg/ha, was observed with a combination of 50% chemical fertilizer and 50% farmyard manure, alop an ar lication of 8 kg of zinc per hectare. Conversely, the highest zinc uptake, measuring 250.7 g/ha, occu h a combination of 75% ed chemical fertilizer and 25% fungicide-free treatment, supplemented with 4 kg of er hed are (Sarwar et al., 2012). The uptake of NPK in maize significantly increases when NPK miner fultry manure are applied rth er an together, surpassing the application of organic and inorganic fertilize ly. Quansah (2010) reported that inde nde combining 60 kilograms of nitrogen from poultry manure with mine ferti zer at a ratio of 60-40-40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK dlizers alone. The residual effect indicated results in higher NPK absorption compared to using organic or inorganic variations in phosphorus uptake when different sources were used alone, but the combination of industry wastes increased the overall efficiency of resource utilization for crop gr through integrated management. Manzar-ul-Alam ey 2.8 to 59.7% when nutrients were combined et al. (2005) discovered that the efficacy of P-fertilizer usage eas with chemical fertilizer, as opposed to using chemical fertilizer alo

#### Impact of INM on the nutritional condition of the land h systems of agriculture based on maize

The application of comprehensive nutrient man iques significantly increased the overall nutrient content, ent in the surface of the maize cropping system. Higher maize yields encompassing both micronutrients and macron rients were achieved through meticulous applic NPĽ fertilizers in conjunction with farmyard manure or agricultural Dutta et al., 2013). Integrated nutrient management (INM) practices waste, contributing to enhanced soil tilitv resulted in higher organic matter content ompand to traditional farming methods, and initial soil mineral levels were elevated in maize-wheat and m cropping systems. The chemical composition of the soil experienced tate improvement when 25 or 50% get was replaced with farmyard manure (FYM), supplemented with 4 kilograms of 612 of zinc per hectare (Sarwa . In contrast to relying solely on inorganic fertilizer, the incorporation of 5 along ith inorganic fertilizers (50 kg urea ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 kg DAP ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found to enhance tonnes of compost p acre the soil's chemical and ıl ch teristics in a more sustainable manner, as indicated by Laekemariam & Gidago in 2012. Similarly, a st decades discovered that the application of 50% NPK with inorganic fertilizers and oning two iy sp manure (FYM) significantly improved soil fertility, as reported by Sathish et al. in 2011. Research 50% N with farm in 2013 demons ated that including 50% of the recommended NPK fertilizers alongside organic inputs resulted in the highest lev organic matter, total nitrate (N), extracted phosphorous (P), and potassium (K). This implies that the comb ied us of organic materials with 50% of the recommended NPK fertilizers represents a viable approach for roduction. By the conclusion of the fourth year of the study, the levels of available nitrogen (N), stamable  $(1_{205})$ , potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O), and sulfur (S) had increased by 19.0%, 46.3%, 9.6%, and 54.1%, respectively, due phosphory mentation of comprehensive nutrient management. Conversely, the use of mechanical nutrient management the imp d to a decline in micronutrient levels compared to their initial values, as reported by Dasog et al. in 2012.

#### A comprehensive set of INM approach includes the following critical steps

Assessing soil nutrient availability and identifying potential deficiencies for agricultural plants is commonly carried out through soil sampling and subsequent laboratory tests. Two primary methodologies exist for recognizing nutrient deficiencies. Initially, visual cues are utilized to identify specific nutrient deficiencies by examining plant symptoms. Additionally, when symptoms are not visibly apparent, a more in-depth analysis of both plant tissues and soil samples can be performed at a testing facility, with the results compared to a reference sample obtained from a healthy plant (Mee et al., 2016). Examine the constraints and potentialities within existing methods for soil fertility management and

explore their correlation with nutrient diagnostics, such as the inadequate or excessive utilization of nitrogen fertilizers, as discussed by Watson et al. (2006). Recognize the agricultural practices and technologies that deliver essential nutrients across diverse climates and soil conditions. The difference between the quantity of fertilizer intake and the actual utilization of fertilizers can be employed to compute the soil nutrient budget for a specific region and period. Once these parameters are established, suitable integrated nutrient management (INM) technologies can be chosen, as discussed by Panta & Parajulee (2021).

#### Matching soil nutrients with crop demand in space and time

Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) involves aligning the quantity and timing of fertilizer applications with the specific nutrient requirements of crops, with the goal of optimizing yields and improving fertilizer use efficiency, a emphasized by Cassman et al. in 2002. The strategic utilization of small, frequent doses of nitrogen features during periods of heightened crop demand has the potential to reduce nitrogen losses, simultaneously enhancing oppulation and overall production (Witt & Dobermann, 2004). This method of nitrogen application reduction in a tack of synchronization between nitrogen availability in the soil and crop demand, leading to an excess of organic nitrogen precisely when it is needed for rapid crop growth (Chen et al., 2006)

#### Reduced n losses while increasing crop output

The excessive use of nitrogen fertilizers can lead to increased nitrate leaching into groundwater and heightened emissions into the atmosphere. Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) gives to possible dultural productivity while reducing nitrogen losses and minimizing negative environmental imports (Culmert al., 2000). The use of nitrogen inhibitors has proven effective in reducing  $N_2O$  emissions, particulate as these emissions mainly occur during the nitrification processes following fertilizer application (Ma et al., 2010).

## Conclusion

ancial and environmental benefits for farmers. A Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices offer not ble tified various methodologies and highlighted existing comprehensive review of multiple research papers ha ide opportunities that could be further optimized through the h plenentation of enhanced site-specific INM practices. The future strategic expansion of INM is poised to the following factors: (i) Integration of soil and plant ded b testing, (ii) Customization to align with loc ditions, (iii) Incorporation of mechanization to address ate significant labor shortages, (iv) Adoption of co agriculture and rainwater-harvesting techniques, (v) Recycling ervat of organic nutrient flow, (vi) Embracin echnological advancements, and (vii) Implementing essential interventions (Javaria & Khan, 2010;  $n_{0}$ 

## **Author contributions**

nception and design. The study was created and the protocol was written by All authors contributed to tion, data collection, and analysis were performed by Muhammad Shahid and Esha author Esha Arshad. Mater prep Arshad. The first dr u cript was written by Esha Arshad and Tajamul Abbas commented on previous versions of the mar uthor L m shehzadi, Javeria Akram, Zunaira Arif the literature searches and contributed a cript lot in Strategies The final part of the manuscript is Hinder Hunger written by Rameesha Ali and Javaria ort es and itations were managed by Esha Arshad. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Mushtaq. Refere



The author declares no conflict of interest. The manuscript has not been submitted for publication in other journal.

## **Ethics** approval

Not applicable

## References

Abid, M., Batool, T., Siddique, G., Ali, S., Binyamin, R., Shahid, M. J., & Alyemeni, M. N. (2020). Integrated nutrient management enhances soil quality and crop productivity in maize-based cropping system. *Sustainability*, *12*(23), 10214. https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310214

Abid, M., Tian, Z., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Cui, Y., Liu, Y., Zahoor, R., & Dai, T. (2016). Nitrogen Nutrition Improves the Potential of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to Alleviate the effects of drought stress during vegetative growth periods. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00981

Ahmad, W., Shah, Z., Khan, F., Ali, S., & Malik, W. (2013). Maize yield and soil properties as influenced by integrated use of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers in a low fertility soil. *Soil & Environment*, 32(2).

Ali, K., Khali, S. K., Munsif Abdurrab, F., Nawab, K., Khan, A. Z., Kamal, A., & Khan, Z. H. (2012), maize to various nitrogen sources and tillage practices. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 28, 9-14.

Arif, M., Ali, K., Jan, M. T., Shah, Z., Jones, D. L., & Quilliam, R. S. (2016). Integration of bochar with animal manure and nitrogen for improving maize yields and soil properties in calcareous semiarid agroepsystems. *Held Crops Research*, 195, 28–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.05.011

Brisson, N., Gate, P., Gouache, D., Charmet, G., Oury, F., & Huard, F. (2010) Whyare where yields stagnating in Europe? A comprehensive data analysis for France. *Field Crops Researce*, *119*(1), 201–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.07.012

Bruinsma, J., (2009). The resource outlook to 2050: how much do land, water, and crop yields need to increase by 2050? In: Bruinsma, J. (Ed.), Expert Meeting on How to Feed the first d in 2050. FAO, Rome, Italy (Available at www.fao.org/fileadmin/ templates/).

Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A., & Walters, D. T. (2002) Agr scosystems, nitrogen-use efficiency, and nitrogen management. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environmen*, 31(1), 132-140.

Chen, X. P., Cui, Z. L., Vitousek, P. M., Cassmar, F. G., Met on, P. A., Bai, J.-S., & Zhang, F.-S. (2011). Integrated soil–crop system management for food security *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *108*(16), 6399–6404. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101419108

Chen, X., Zhang, F., Römheld, V., Horlaci, r. D., Schulz, R., BöningZilkens, M., & Claupein, W. (2006). Synchronizing N supply from soil and fertilizer and N email of winter wheat by an improved nmin method. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 74(2), 91–98, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1070500517019

Dutta, J., Sankhyan, X. K., harm, S. P., & Sharma, S. K. (2013). Long-term effect of chemical fertilizers and soil amendments on sustainable production of sulphur nutrition of crops under maize-wheat cropping system in an acid alfisol. *Journal of An demo and Industrial Research*, 2(7), 412-16.

Foley, J. A., Rahankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., & Tilman, D. (2011). Solutions for a cultivate planet. *Nature*, 478(7369), 337–342. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10452

angwar, L. S., Sngh, K. K., Sharma, S. K., & Tomar, O. K. (2006). Alternative tillage and crop residue management n wheat after free in sandy loam soils of Indo Gangetic plains. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 88(1), 242–252. tps://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2005.06.015

Gruhn, P., Goletti, F., & Yudelman, M. (2000). Integrated nutrient management, soil fertility, and sustainable agriculture: current issues and future challenges. Intl Food Policy Res Inst.

Güereña, D. T., Kimetu, J., Riha, S., Neufeldt, H., & Lehmann, J. (2016). Maize productivity dynamics in response to mineral nutrient additions and legacy organic soil inputs of contrasting quality. *Field Crops Research*, *188*, 113–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.12.017 Haddad, N., Duwayri, M., Oweis, T., Bishaw, Z., Risch kowsky, B., Hassan, A. A., & Grando, S. (2011). The potential of small scale rainfed agriculture to strengthen food security in Arab countries. *Food Security*, *3*(1), 163–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1257101000997

Hepperly, P., Lotter, D., Ulsh, C. Z., Seidel, R., & Reider, C. (2009). Compost, manure and synthetic fertilizers influences crop yields, soil properties, nitrate leaching and crop nutrient content. *Compost Science & Utilization*, *17*(2), 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/1065657x.2009.10702410

Javaria, S., & Khan, M. Q. (2010). Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management on Tomato Yield Quality and Soil Environment. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 34(1), 140–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2011.531605

Jones, D. L., & Healey, J. R. (2010). Organic Amendments for Remediation: Putting Waste to Good Use. 16(6), 369–374. https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.6.6.369

Laekemariam, F., & Gidago, G. (2012). Response of maize (Zea mays L.) to integrated fertilizer application in Wolaita, South Ethiopia. *Advances in Life Science and Technology*, *5*(11), 21-30.

Ma, B. L., Wu, T. Y., Tremblay, N., Deen, W., Morrison, M. J., McLaughlin, N. B., & Stewart, G. (20,0). Nitrous oxide fluxes from corn fields: on-farm assessment of the amount and timing of nitrogen fertilizer. *Clobal Change Biology*, *16*(1), 156–170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01932.x

Manzar-ul-Alam, S., Shah, S. A., Ali, S., & Iqbal, M. M. (2005). Yield and photohore-uptake by crops as influenced by chemical fertilizer and integrated use of industrial by-products. *Songklasskari* J. Sci. Jechnol, 27, 9-16.

Mee, C. Y., Bala, S. K., & Mohd, A. H. (2016). Detecting and monitoring plat nutrient stress using remote sensing approaches: A review. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, *16*(1), 1-8

Mueller, N. D., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., Ray, D. K., Rama kutt, N., & Foley, J. A. (2012). Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management. *Nature*, 490(7412), 24–25. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11420

Nayak, A. K., Gangwar, B., Shukla, A. K., Mazundai, S. P., Kumar, A., Raja, R., & Mohan, U. (2012). Long-term effect of different integrated nutrient management on schergane cubon and its fractions and sustainability of rice–wheat system in Indo Gangetic Plains of India. *Field crops Insearch*, *127*, 129–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.11.011

Panta, S., & Parajulee, D. (2021). Integrated Natriem Management (INM) in Soil and Sustainable Agriculture. International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology, 9(3), 160–165. https://doi.org/10.3126/ijasbt.v9i3.39275

Quansah, G. W. (2010). "Effect of premicinal inorganic fertilizers and their combinations on the growth and yield of maize in the semi-deciduous firsts are a Ghana," *MSc Thesis Submitted to the Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, College of Agriculture and Vatura Resources, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.* 

Sarwar, M., Ghulamar, E., z. K., Mulammad Ehsan, A., & Arshad Nawaz C. (2012). Impact of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake by maize under rainfed conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 11, 27-33.

Sathish, A. Chyine, Gowda, V., Chandrappa, H., & Nagaraja, K., (2011). Long-term effect of integrated use of organic and inorganic feedbacks on productivity, soil fertility, and uptake of nutrients in rice & maize cropping system. *I. J. S.* 

hiferaw, L., Smale, M., Braun, H., Duveiller, E., Reynolds, M., & Muricho, G. (2013). Crops that feed the world 10. Part successes and future challenges to the role played by wheat in global food security. *Food Security*, 5(3), 291–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-013-0263-y

Vidyavathi, V., Dasog, G. S., Babalad, H. B., Hebsur, N. S., Gali, S. K., Patil, S. G., & Alagawadi, A. R. (2012). Nutrient status of soil under different nutrient and crop management practices. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 25, 193-198.

Watson, C. A., Bengtsson, H., Ebbesvik, M., Løes, A. K., Myrbeck, A., Salomon, E., & Stockdale, E. A. (2006). A review of farm-scale nutrient budgets for organic farms as a tool for management of soil fertility. *Soil Use and Management*, *18*, 264–273. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2002.tb00268.x

Witt, C., & Dobermann, A. (2004). Toward a decision support system for site-specific nutrient management. In: Dobermann, A., Witt, C. and Dawe, D. (Eds.), Increasing the productivity of intensive rice systems through site-specific nutrient management. *Science Publishers, Inc., and International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Enfield, NH (USA) and Los Baños (Philippines)*, pp. 359-395.

Wu, W., & Liao, Y. (2014). The research progress and prospects of ridge and furrow rainwater harvesting system in arid regions of China. *Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Occidentalis Sinica*, *2*, 1-9.

Wu, W., & Ma, B. (2015). Integrated nutrient management (INM) for sustaining crop productivity and reducing environmental impact: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, *512-513*, 415–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.101

Zhang, F., Cui, Z., Fan, M., Zhang, W., Chen, X., & Jiang, R. (2011). Integrated Soil-Crop System Management. Reducing Environmental Risk while Increasing Crop Productivity and Improving Nutrient Use Efficiency in Chin Journal of Environmental Quality, 40(4), 1051–1057. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2010.0292